

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Family Name</b>	Massey
<b>Given Name</b>	Philip
<b>Person ID</b>	1286151
<b>Title</b>	Stakeholder Submission
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Family Name</b>	Massey
<b>Given Name</b>	Philip
<b>Person ID</b>	1286151
<b>Title</b>	JP-G 1 Valuing Important Landscapes
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Do not destroy existing "greener" especially mature trees!
<b>Family Name</b>	Massey
<b>Given Name</b>	Philip
<b>Person ID</b>	1286151
<b>Title</b>	JPA 36: Pocket Nook
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No

<p><b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b></p>	<p>The REDACTED TEXT family have consistently said they will not sell their land yet the council persists with what I and others see as bullying techniques. Andy Burnham was quoted saying 'there would be no compulsory purchase of land' in 2013 when he was the MP for Leigh.</p> <p>Does 'nature' not play a part in the councils plans? I have seen many sites developed throughout our country for housing but unlike Wigan the trees already in place are used effectively by building around them rather than building over them. A cultural wasteland comes to mind with Wigan.</p> <p>The moronic building company who constructed the houses at the end of Glastonbury avenue and Canaan razed everything on the site. An utter disgrace.</p>
<p><b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b></p>	<p>You need enforcement officers. I talked to one of them almost 3 years ago (November 2018) who told me he had not got the time to oversee all sites in Wigan.</p> <p>Government inspectors have agreed that Lowton could not take the 3000 houses planned yet you people insist on further building. He told the council a maximum of 1000 homes.</p> <p>The problems we have with traffic now extend to many of us trapped from 7am through to 9am along Newton and St Helens. Your plan is now a new road which I suggested to you in 2014 in the planning for the houses behind my house at the Carr Brook area.</p> <p>Suddenly you now have money for this venture.</p>